May

29

1999

Varyards: 8 oman \$65,000 endur 8 narassment فع 7 aturday,

ounts reporte Elizabeth Brixey

damages erator being fired nages for sex endured on former l when Madison awarded sexual the she harassment job and for machine op-d \$65,000 in complained

Adams was sexually co-worker at Universition Concepts, 150 Road, UPC makes and store displays. decided late to supervisors.
After 3½ hours Thursday, Dane County jurors ded that 33-year-old Mary ed that 33-year-old s was sexually harasse at Universal Presenta-epts, 1501 S. Stoughton makes plastic displays of deliberation harassed by Mary

The jury also decided:

Adams about it. ■ The company prompt and reasor stop sexual after su reasonable supervisors har failed assment d knew

against about the harassment. e company Adams for complaining retaliated

discriminated The basis her. of company her against gen der intentionally Adams when

rassment done with Both and firing Adams w failing ಕ stop the were

(The

measures sment of take

take sexual harassment seriously."

у. Гт<u>е</u>й

lawyer

The

On Friday, A "overwhelmed the verdicts. "I the verdicts. "I was really thankful that the jury saw the truth and that they came to a fair decision." Adams and speechless" by

humiliation and she suffered while

humiliation and

harm,

They

awarded

Adams

they came to a fair decision."
Jurors reached their decisions after a four-day trial before Circuit Judge Paul Higginbotham.

jury) clearly sent the message that companie have 8

for Mary Amy Scarr Adams

embarrassment on the job from s \$15,000 distress,

machine, that folded worked and

November 1995 to August 1996.
And they awarded her \$50, in punitive damages, which are tended to punish defendants

her \$50,000

es, which are defendants

for

H

their conduct.
Adams' law

have to take sexual harassment se-

Adams maintained that in 1995, co-worker John Poster b making sexual advances and ments to her as she worked a Adams that in

Adams' lawyer, Amy Scarr, had asked the jury for \$25,000 in punitive damages and was somewhat taken aback by their decision.

"This jury sent a powerful message to this defendant by doubling the amount of punitive damages," Scarr said Friday. "They clearly sent the message that companies riously.

d alone plastic began late om-

> self, sometimes reaching the point of swearing at him. greeting card to handle the

partment. ruary 1996, s they order him bervisors and they promised by would talk with the man and ler him to stay out of her de-

was being Adams continued was Scarr starting who, by u stalked and said this time, s the behavior put her month, me, said comshe

Please see PA MAGES, Page 2B

amag

Continued from Page 1B

plaint in writing.

Van Camp Friday and c

1100

of the

reached

ployment.

because

she

would

n't

get

unem-

pervisor she was so unhappy she wished she could quit but couldn't

pervisor

fired

after

she told her

appeal.

Adams

s urged being s

sexually harassed

detail imme

for comment on appeal. Neither

could

company

possibility of

couldn'

about days before she was didn't know about it. memo was placed there only a few days before she was fired and she fired contained problem employee who it along with her co-carr said Adams' person Just over a week later, she ed on the grounds that she such Adams' personnel one supervisor's m problems, co-workers. and t she was couldn't she memo that file everything, and always be aware of the policies at your place of emto "document every

moody, difficult employee who was having an affair with the co-worker. He said that when Adams reported sexual harassment, the company took her seriously and Camp, behaving improperly that they found no evidence rassment and that Poster d monitored UPC's argued the situation. lawyer, ued that Harry Adams He denied of hasaid Van

they get to tri federal court.

Cour

H

Dane

County

Circuit

Sexual

harassment

trials

ourt;

they

y usually trial or th

hey

settle before hey end up in

Van Camp said Adams agreed